



UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE Patent and Trademark Office

Address :	COMMISSIONER OF PATENTS AND T Washington, D.C. 20231	RADEMARKS

SERIAL NUMBER	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED	APPLICANT		ATTORNEY DOCKET NO
06/932+470	11/18/86	KAH		<del></del>	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.
JACK N. MC CARTHY  655 BIMINI RD.  SATELLITE BEACH, FL 32937		קייני	¬ F	<u>EURKHAR<sup>EX</sup>AM</u> INER	
OFF Flankeds Flan	WW.TWIII	<i>37</i>		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
				100 14 1	2
			0/	ATE MAILED:	08/31/87

This is a communication from the examiner in charge of your application.

## COMMISSIONER OF PATENTS AND TRADEMARKS

<b>.</b> .						
This application has been examined Responsive to communication filed on	This action is made final.					
A shortened statutory period for response to this action is set to expire 3 month(s),	rys from the date of this letter. 5 U.S.C. 133					
Part I THE FOLLOWING ATTACHMENT(S) ARE PART OF THIS ACTION:  L Notice of References Cited by Examiner, PTO-892.  3. Notice of Art Cited by Applicant, PTO-1449  4. Notice of inform  5. Information on How to Effect Drawing Changes, PTO-1474  6	t Drawing, PTO-948. al Patent Application, Form PTO-152					
Part II SUMMARY OF ACTION						
1. 🔀 Claims 1-38	are pending in the application.					
Of the above, claims	are withdrawn from consideration.					
2. Claims	have been cancelled.					
3. Declaims 15-26	are allowed.					
4. Kclaims 1,2,10,27-29,32-36, and 36						
s x claims 3-9,11-14,30,31, aud 37						
6. Claims are su	Claims are subject to restriction or election requirement.					
7. This application has been filed with informal drawings which are acceptable for examination matter is indicated.	purposes <del>until such time as allowable subject</del>					
8. Allowable subject matter having been indicated, formal drawings are required in response to	this Office action.					
9. The corrected or substitute drawings have been received on The The	ese drawings areacceptable;					
10. The proposed drawing correction and/or the proposed additional or substitute sheet has (have) been approved by the examiner. disapproved by the examiner (see explain						
11. The proposed drawing correction, filed, has been approved.  the Patent and Trademark Office no longer makes drawing changes. It is now applicant's re corrected. Corrections MUST be effected in accordance with the instructions set forth on the EFFECT DRAWING CHANGES", PTO-1474.	sponsibility to ensure that the drawings are					
12. Acknowledgment is made of the claim for priority under 35 U.S.C. 119. The certified copy has	as been received not been received					
13. Since this application appears to be in condition for allowance except for formal matters, pro accordance with the practice under Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11; 453 O.G. 213.	osecution as to the merits is closed in					
14. Cther						

- 1. The drawings are objected to because in Fig.
- 1, reference numeral Bl lacks a lead line. Correction is required.
- 2. The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless-

- (b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than cone year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.
- Claims 32, 33, 35, and 36 are rejected under
   U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by Roberts.

For "stop means", note Roberts' page 1, lines 71-85.

4. Claims 27-29 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by Hunter '056.

Spring means 56 of Hunter respectively comprise "first" and "second" biasing means. The functional language of claim 29 is considered a mere description of the Hunter '056 transmission in operation.

5. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103 which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

Art Unit 314

Subject matter developed by another person, which qualifies as prior art only under subsection (f) and (g) of section 102 of this title, shall not preclude patentability under this section where the subject matter and the claimed invention were, at the time the invention was made, owned by the same person or subject to an obligation of assignment to the same person.

6. Claims 1, 2, and 10 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103 as being unpatentable over Hunter '056.

The transmission of Hunter '056 differs from that recited by applicant only in the specific location of the "other" contact member mounting means. To have located mounting means (61) of Hunter '056 "within" the ring gear is considered to have been an obvious matter of design choice. As to claim 10, note cylindrical member 37 of Hunter '056.

7. Claims 34 and 38 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103 as being unpatentable over Hunter '056 in view of Roberts.

The Hunter '056 transmission differs from that claimed by applicant in its specific gear arrangement. Roberts teaches a transmission with gears arranged as recited by applicant. It would have been obvious to one having ordinary skill in the art to have substituted gear arrangement as suggested and taught by Roberts for that of the Hunter '056 transmission.

- 8. Claims 15-26 are allowable over the prior art of record.
- 9. Claims 3-9, 11-14, 30, 31, and 37 are objected.
  to as being dependent upon a rejected base claim, but
  would be allowable if rewritten in independent form

Art Unit 314

including all of the limitations of the base claim and any intervening claims.

10. The prior art made of record and not relied upon is considered pertinent to applicant's disclosure.

Reynolds teaches a pivoting transmission.

Beiswenger et al. teaches an oscillating sprinkler with indicia. Hunter '024 and '584 teach oscillating transmissions with biasing means.

11. Any inquiry concerning this communication should be directed to Patrick Burkhart at telephone number 703-557-3618.

PBurkhart: tpd

703-557-3618

8-26-87

ANDRES KASHNIKOW
SUPERVISORY PATENT EXAMINER

ART UNIT 314

8-23-87

المجسم براي